French ANSP	French ANSP MISO Version 23/10/2003								
		Version: MisoV2.14							
RISK ASSESSMENT SHEET Ref.									
Repetitive intervent.	☐ Generic interven	tion 🔲 Specific i	ntervention Pla	anned period:					
	☐ Repetitive intervent. ☐ Generic intervention ☐ Specific intervention Planned period:								
4 DUDDOOF OF TU									
1. PURPOSE OF THI	drafted by	_	drafted by	,					
INIERVENTION	(Tech.Service)	date	(Ops. Servic						
(FINAL VALIDATIONS)									
2. DESCRIPTION OF THE INTERVENTION AND CONSEQUENCES FORESEEN:									
<b>Y</b> To a constant		14 D							
	RVENTION (MISO FOR SAI) ent (hardware and/or softwo								
B: Changeofoperati		<i>'</i>	to the environment phical reorganisati	•					
- C: Change of parame			<del>)nicai reorganisaa</del> ive maintenance	<del>он ој ецигртенг</del>					
<u> </u>									
→ HARDWARE /	SOFTWARE / <b>F</b> UNCTIONS IMP	PACTED (to be a	amended depend	ling on centre)					
□ RDP	☐ G/G Telephone	☐ ACC ODS		Fire					
□ FDP	☐ A/Gradiocommunica			Lightning					
□ TID	Network managemen	=		Security					
Strip Printers	Recording system	☐ Fallback rad		Cabinets					
Keyboards, screens	Radio supervision	🔲 Radar data 1		Office servers					
Dynamic Map server	Radio station links	☐ ATC/FDDI		Office network					
☐ RDP/FDP Supervision	$\square$ AFTN	☐ Meteo data d		Office energy					
FMP tools	☐ Power Supply	Centralised	•						
$\square$ CFMU	Time Manager	Radar Tech S	•						
☐ Simulator	Batteries	$\square$ Radars							
☐ Oldi	Telecom equip.								
☐ MilitaryInterface	Uninterruptible powe	er		ATC furniture					
$\square$ Approach	supply			Airport platform					
	☐ Back-up energy	tina 🗆		Анрон рішјогт					
Switching/matrices	☐ Air conditioning/heat	ting $\square$							
→ PROPOSED SU	IPPORT SERVICES IMPACTEL	o: (§6 to k	e addressed on	separate sheets)					
☐ No support service impacted.	$\square$ Air/ground comm.	☐ Ground/Gro	und comm	Air Surveillance					
☐ PLN management	Recordings (on & off-la	ine) $\square$ ATC room m	anag.	Ground Surveillance					
☐ ASM/ATFM assistance	Navaids (En-Route & Th	MA) Decial-status a	irspace display	General and aero info display					
☐ Decision-making tools	$\square$ Ops maintenance	$\square$ Safety nets		Others:					
OBSERVATIONS:			•						
	S CONCERNED BY TH								
Technical	Operating	Others at		External Services					
Technical Quality Unit	OPS Quality Unit	☐ Technical D		Comm Service Provider					
RDP/FDP unit	OPS project unit	☐ OtherACC		Eurocontrol					
Network and ODS unit	OPS unit	Other APP		Foreign centres					
A/G & G/G comunit	Training Unit	☐ Airport		Military					
→ SUPPLEMENTARY LIST:									

Fre	nch ANSP		MISO Version 23/10/2003							
			Version: MisoV2.1					Version: MisoV2.14		
4. A	4. ANALYSIS OF THE TECHNICAL RISKS (performed by the Technical Service)									
	→ ANALYSIS OF THE TECHNICAL RISKS (without taking account of the content)  Criteria   Eval.   Observations (necessary if >=2)   Proposed risk mitigations at Technical Sen									
	Criteria		Eval.	Observa	tions (necesso	<i>try if</i> >=2)	Pro	pose	drisk mitig	gations at Technical Serv.
Techn	iical complexi	ty								
Multi	ple stakeholde	rs.								
Interd	ıct. with other	systems								
Interv	ention Durati	on								
Risk a	lue to mis-han	dling								
Seque	encing constra	ints								
Backı	tracking condi	tion							_	
	er of problem ienced	S								
	ofinvolvemen iical Supervisa									
	tal: technical			(from 0	to 27)		(if :	> 10 a	assurance	e procedure)
•	HAZARDS (	(CAUSED E	BY TECHI	NICAL INC	IDENTS)					
5. MITIGATIONS OF THE TECHNICAL RISKS										
<b>→</b>	TECHNICAL RI	SKS EVAL	UATED		0 to 10 =>	> Normal			11 to 27	'=Assurance
<b>→</b>	CHOICE OF PR	EPARATIO	N MODE		Norm	nal			As	ssurance
•	JUSTIFICAT	TION OF DI	FFEREN	MODE				Ada	d to this form	n :
								The Assurance sheet The operating modes		
	- Count				10 ON THE TE				The open	aung moaes
		_	_	_	IS ON THE TE				., ,	
□ Pi Refere	recise docume ence:	ntation ex	ists on th	ie subject		Reference		ical fe	edback on	the subject
	→ Risk-i	REDUCTION	N MEASU	IRES PRO	POSED BY TH	IE TECHNIC	CAL SERV	ICE		
$\Box$ ch	noice of a spec	ific period	l:			☐ Addi	itional bri	efings	3	
☐ Presence of the Person responsible for round-the-clock ☐ Keep the Technical Supervision (local) informed										
technical service  Additional training:										
	resence of Tec	hnıcal exp	ert			I				
Others										
→ Observations and recommendations for the intervention: (in particular impact for supervision)										
	→ TESTS	AT END O	F INTER\	ENTION:			(E	valu	ations, va	lidations, etc.)

					Vers	sion: <b>MisoV2.14</b>
6 DDELIMINADY ACC	ECCMENT	OE SAEETVII	MDACT OF A	CINICIE	CUDDODT	CEDVICE
6. PRELIMINARY ASS		OF SAFETY II additional suppor				-
→ OPERATIONAL		s <b>is:</b> (assessed or				
O EKATIONAL	MONANALIC		onditions propos			
Support service impa	cted				sk 'determin	
Choice of a supp		vice	Ch	oice o	f a risk '	determinant'
	Eval.	Justifications/an			d mitigation m	
Expected impact		J		- I		
(redundancy,fallback, etc.)						
Proportion of flights affected						
Validation tests						
Potential risks						
Backtracking typology						
Conventional mitigation						
means on the operational						
side			1 20 0			
Residual index		to be compa threshold asso risk 'determ	ciated with the	suppo	ort service and	omalous
OBSERVATIONS ON	THE CONTEN			o this serv	vice)	
• OBSERVATIONS ON	THE CONTEN	1.	(III IK GO TO	) li iis sei v	nce)	
→ ROUTINE MITIGA	TIONMEANS	ON THE OPERATIO	N SIDE			
☐ Presence of operational e	experts		Prior briefi	ng to the H	lead of the con	trol room
☐ Presence of person respo	nsible for ro	und-the-clock	Prior briefi	ng to the co	ontrollers	
operations						
			_			
→ OVERALL ASSES	SSMENTOF	PERATIONAL	COMPI	TEC		IOMA I OUE
RISKS	at of the oth	or oupport	□ COMPI	AES	_	I <b>OMALOUS</b> t one anomaly
(tak ing accour services impa		ει δυρροιτ			ij ai teas	i one anomaiy
→ GENERAL OBSE						
J GENERAL OBSE	NVAIIONS.					
7 ADDITIONAL DISK	DEDUCT		· /a a a valimentia	. 0	- : 1/t l- :- :	I a a m si a a a A
7. ADDITIONAL RISK-			\		onal/technica	· ·
→ OPERATIONAL N	1ITIGATION N	IEANS			MITIGATION ME	-
☐ Traffic flow restrictions☐ Additional ATCO per sec	tor				e trajjic wiin p mical experts	resence constraints
Others:	101		Others:	oiner iech	тистехренз	
→ CHOICE OF INTE	RVENTIONS	I OT:		uled date	and time)	
2 GIIOIOL OI IMIL			(301100)	ca dato		
			/ 1 / 2	,		\
→ OTHER RISK-RE	DUCTION ME.	ASURES :	(details	ana non-	-routine barri	ers)
-						
→ Observations and recommendations for the intervention: (in particular impact in control rooms)						
8. RESIDUAL RISK AF	TER RISK	MITIGATION (	coordination opera	ational/tech	nnical services	.)
→ conclusions:						
→ RATING OF OVE	RALL RESIDI.	IAL RISK	(estima	ate)		
		Significant	Major Major		Serious	If greater

MISO

French ANSP

Version 23/10/2003

French ANSP	MISO		Version 23/10/2003 Version: Mis o V 2.14	
→ COMMUNICATION TO MANAGEMENT	to the person authorised to sign the works sheet, see SMS	Arbitration by Head of Centre	Safety argument equired	Do not perform the intervention
	<b>OF NOT PERFORMING THE INTERVENTION</b> pidable operations, apply the more de			n the case of ure)

French Al	NSP							ersion 23/10/2003 ersion: MisoV2.14	
NORMAL PROOFERING (************************************									
NORMAL PROCEDURE (or common part with ASSURANCE)  O COORDINATION MEETING (for more see Assurance procedure about)									
	9. COORDINATION MEETING (for more, see Assurance procedure sheet)  Date Participants								
Date	rai licipants								
• Ob	servations	s :							
10. LIST A	AND REFE	EREN	CES OF USEFUL I	OCUMENTA	ATION				
→	DOCUMENT	TATION	ATTACHED:		(includi	ng works sheet ar	nd/or EB, etc.)		
					<i>(</i> * , ,				
<b>→</b>	DOCUMENT	TS APPI	LICABLE :		(Instruc	tion, procedure, e	tC.)		
11. STAFI				-	(surnam	ne, first name or se	ection, tel., offic	e, etc.)	
_	RATION MAN		DAT THE CENTRE:						
_			<del>-</del>						
• STAI	FF INVOLVE	DAT 01	THER CENTRE(S):						
• STAI	FF INVOLVE	D - EXT	ERNAL COMPANY(IES):						
• OTHE	ERS:								
12. SUMM	IARY IMP	LEME	NTATION TABLE		(for mo	re, see Assurance	e procedure she	et)	
Chrono.			-	Place/Actor	(10111101	Observation	•	Done	
or Seq.				Trace/Actor Observations				Done	
	Pre-requis	site:							
	E 1								
	End tests:								
PROPO	SED DI	<b>ECIS</b>	SION		(in acco	ordance with the co	entre's quality n	nanual)	
Preparatio	n validate	ed by	For Operational	on		For Technica	$\circ$		
	in the centre	-	Service (local)			Service (local	"		
,	SMS								
Worksnot	notice ref. (a form is proposed by the method)								
Submitted for decision to		on		validated	on				
set out in th	he centre's S	SMS							
13. CLOS	URE OF 1	THE IN	ITERVENTION	(double the li		uired for Operation			
		□ No	minal   Slight a	leviations		ajor anomaly => fe	edback reference	?#	
• <b>C</b> O	MMENTS AN	ID SUGO	GESTIONS:		(for the	next intervention (	of the same typ	e)	

French ANSP	MISO	Version 23/10/2003
		Version: MisoV2.14